Cloud-Driven Security Architectures for Internet of Things: A Comprehensive Review

Jeewant Choudhry, Research Scholar (Microelectronics and VLSI Design), Manipal Institute of Technology, Manipal, Karnataka-576104

Dr. Kishor Sarawadekar, Research Supervisor (Microelectronics and VLSI Design), Manipal Institute of Technology, Manipal, Karnataka-576104

Abstract

This paper examines the evolving security landscape of cloud computing and IoT, with more security breaches and efficiency at different technical solutions. This interpretative study employs case studies, expert interviews, and surveys to examine cybersecurity concerns based on privacy, trust, and technology. The report shows a decade-long rise in security breaches, peaking in 2015 and 2020. This pattern indicates increased cyber risks that demand substantial security changes. Expert interviews and data analysis emphasize the relevance of technology, especially ML and DL, in threat protection and mitigation. Some of the key emerging security technologies in recent research, such as strong third-party vendor management as identified in the Target data breach case study, also reinforce comprehensive security practices. The study was highly supportive of using security technologies and frameworks to address privacy and confidentiality challenges. A future study should include artificial intelligence in cybersecurity strategy, real-time monitoring, and blockchain technology. Future research will reveal how these technologies can improve security, threaten detection, and create new challenges in cloud computing and IoT environments. The report helps firms improve their cybersecurity in a complex digital environment by identifying current cybersecurity threats and solutions.

Keywords: Cloud Computing, Data Security, Internet of Things, Artificial Intelligence, Deep Learning, Reliability and Normality Analysis

1. INTRODUCTION

An extensive network of connected IoT-enabled devices and apps makes up an IoT-based cloud architecture. This infrastructure is made up of several different parts, including servers, storage, operations, real-time processing, and underlying infrastructure. It also includes the services and standards required to link, control, and secure different IoT applications and devices. An illustration of an IoT architecture can be found in Figure 1. Cloud computing has developed quickly over the last ten years, and its breakthroughs have continued into the present decade. The Internet of Things (IoT) is a prominent force among these breakthroughs. At the same time, there is a growing momentum for contemporary developments in service designs, data centre operations, distributed cloud environments, and management domains. According to a recent Gartner report, cloud computing is one of the top ten essential technological trends for 2020, and the market for cloud services is predicted to expand by 17% in that year.

In the 1990s, distributed computing platforms were referred to as "cloud computing" for the first time. Elastic Compute Cloud (EC2), for instance, was introduced by Amazon in 2006. In a similar vein, Google released Google App Engine in beta in 2008. OpenNebula, the first open-source program for setting up private and hybrid clouds, was released by NASA in the same year. then OpenStack, an cloud project, in released Azure in 2008. the IBM Smart framework in next year, Microsoft introduced open-source computing 2010 and Microsoft IBM created Cloud 2011. The infrastructure

Figure 1: Typical Architecture of IoT

as a service (IaaS), platform as a service (PaaS), and software as a service (SaaS) were made available by Oracle with the launch of its first Oracle Cloud. There are yet more technological advances in the digital realm to come, and this cloud innovation journey is still underway.

Five essential elements of cloud computing have been identified by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST): measurable service, resource pooling, fast flexibility, network connectivity, and on-demand self-service. There are four deployment strategies and three service models available for effectively delivering cloud services. Offering a broad range of computing services via the internet, including networking, servers, storage, databases, analytics, and intelligence, is the main goal of cloud computing. Customers can choose the kind and amount of services that best suit their need.

There has been a notable transition from traditional IT services to cloud-based solutions due to the cloud's capacity to provide quick data storage and access, affordability, user-friendliness, and flexibility in the workplace. Thanks to cloud computing, businesses no longer need to spend money on pricey hardware and software to create and manage on-site data centers. Cloud technology automates whole businesses by hosting its services and software on remote computers. Numerous industries have embraced this approach, which is only becoming more popular every year.

1.1. Objectives of the Study

- To conduct a thorough analysis of the security risks associated with Internet of Things (IoT) and cloud computing.
- To determine the elements influencing the security and privacy of cloud and IoT technology adoption.
- To look into, using data analysis and expert opinions, the efficacy and dependability of security technology and initiatives.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Obi et al. (2024) examined cloud security, efficiency, and innovation. They discuss cloud computing's rapid growth's challenges and opportunities. Cloud security issues such data privacy, integrity, and access control is assessed. Cyber threats are escalating, thus the writers assess the latest data protection measures. Efficiency options for performance, resource allocation, and scalability are examined. The authors discuss best practices and new trends in cloud providers' efforts to meet demand for efficient and sustainable computing. The study also examines edge computing, serverless architectures, and containerization's impact on cloud computing. The research presents key findings and stresses the necessity to adapt to changing paradigms for a secure, efficient, and creative digital future.

Dinesh and Murthy (2024) examined cloud-connected IoT security challenges and solutions. IoT system architecture and capabilities are analyzed as cloud computing and IoT merge. Insecure communication pathways and device authentication issues are discussed and how integration has changed. Authors stress multifactor authentication, secure identity management, and role-based access. The chapter discusses encryption, privacy, end-to-end encryption, and data privacy. The writers explain IoT device security from setup and onboarding to real-time monitoring and updates. Future trends and difficulties include edge computing, scalability, and interoperability.

Rao and Deebak (2023) examined IoT security and privacy research challenges and goals. Healthcare, intelligent transportation and home automation manage real-time data with billions of smart IoT devices. Four modules are covered: convergence technologies and their security and privacy challenges; state-of-the-art technologies and their security requirements and challenges; key agreement schemes based on network models and performance analysis to identify vulnerabilities; and thematic analysis to propose security and privacy solutions

Rahman and colleagues (2023) evaluated IoT security and cloud-based solutions. They address IoT ecosystem security concerns induced by device expansion, heterogeneity, and resource constraints. Cloud-based security solutions like centralized management,

authentication, and real-time threat detection are examined. Cloud-based IoT security solutions are assessed utilizing literature, case studies, and empirical analysis. Cloud solutions secure IoT ecosystems with centralized management, advanced authentication, and real-time threat detection. These solutions need more research to optimize for IoT applications and use cases, according to the report.

Aljabri (2023) presented a thesis on enhancing IIoT data protection compliance. Searchable encryption with multi-authority access is implemented in the Edge Lightweight Searchable Attribute-based Encryption system, an edge-server architecture in the thesis. ELSA uses a trustworthy edge server and query optimizer to improve search performance beyond encryption solutions. ELSA improves search performance, scalability, and efficiency while reducing storage and network traffic, according to the thesis. ELSA's efficiency with well-known IIoT datasets is shown by integrating machine-learning approaches to reduce lookup table size and execution time.

Verma and Bhardwaj (2022) addressed the limits of traditional cloud computing in IoT applications like smart transportation and healthcare. They say traditional cloud systems lack responsiveness, geographical spread, latency, and location awareness, which these applications require. Fog computing and IoT are combined in the Fog-IoT paradigm model to address these issues. Fog computing brings computation to the network edge, improving efficiency and responsiveness. Fog-assisted IoT applications and fog computing research in IoT are covered in the chapter. It discusses fog computing problems and recommends Fog-IoT paradigm research potential.

Firouzi et al. (2022) explained edge-haze cloud foundations, reference architectures, components, and applications. The article covers service models, offloading, security, infrastructure configuration, provisioning, and performance evaluation. Distributed, collaborative, and privacy-preserving analytics and cloud, fog, and edge computing are also examined. The study provides a complete overview of edge-haze clouds and their potential to improve IoT applications, emphasizing important issues and research gaps.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

In order to collect and evaluate data, this research takes an interpretive stance. Its main goal is to comprehend the myriad problems surrounding cybersecurity, cloud computing security, Internet of Things (IoT), privacy, and trust, as well as the accompanying difficulties. Multiple case studies, an examination of previously published research articles, questionnaires, and expert digital interviews are all part of the technique.

3.1. Data Collection Methods

1. **Case Studies:** Case studies are essential in this research because it provides the necessary comprehensive study about many security issues related to cloud computing and the Internet of Things. By referring to literature and articles relating to similar topics, the research approach will be able to compare and draw inductive reasoning upon well-researched evidence. A list of some significant Cloud Computing and IoT security breaches and incidents was selected. This would range from high-profile cases, such as the Target data breach in 2013, to other relevant examples.

2. **Questionnaires:** A questionnaire was designed and forwarded to eighty professionals related to IoT and cloud computing fields. Questions were meant to obtain qualitative responses related to the pattern of privacy breach, the factor of cloud and IoT application adoptions, and technology solutions efficiency in solving the security issues. The response rate is 75%. Other questions in the questionnaire were related to the frequency of violation of privacy, factors of the adoption of technology, and opinions about security solutions. The survey has been designed in consultation with cybersecurity professionals to be relevant as well as comprehensive.

3. **Interviews:** Structured in-depth interviews with experts were carried out to understand some of the intangible aspects of cloud computing security. Interviews allowed for a better understanding of feelings, experiences, and memories related to

the occurrence of security breaches within their industry. The nature of the interviews being open-ended provided a normal flow of discussion between the interviewer and the respondent, which further eased the evaluation of the security risks with greater elaboration. For experts, a total of two were selected based on their vast experience and knowledge in the realm of cloud security and IoT. Their selection was made through reviewing their professional backgrounds and the contribution they had given.

3.2. Data Analysis Methods

- **Statistical Analysis:** The information gathered from the questionnaires and interviews was examined using ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) statistical test procedures. Using this technique made it possible to spot important variations and patterns in the reactions to security breaches and the implementation of security measures.
- **Data Normality and Reliability:** The collected data's normalcy and reliability were assessed using the NCSS data analysis tool. This made sure that the data was appropriate for additional statistical analysis and that solid, trustworthy data served as the foundation for the results reached.

This research's technique offers a thorough way to comprehend the intricate problems relating to cloud computing and Internet of Things security. In addition to exploring potential solutions for strengthening privacy and trust in cloud and IoT-based systems, the project intends to identify the underlying reasons contributing to security breaches by combining qualitative data from case studies, questionnaires, and interviews with statistical analysis.

4. DATA ANALYSIS

The experimental analysis and assessment examine the empirical study's findings and determines whether they are consistent with the arguments made in related works. The experiment's design aims to record participants' subjective and objective responses to questions about insecurity. The researcher can get information from the interviews about the effectiveness of current security measures as well as the progress of cloud computing security over the past ten years, both verbally and nonverbally. According to the findings, there has been a rise in security events over time, and businesses must devise sophisticated strategies to address privacy violations.

4.1. Increased Frequency of Security Breaches

The frequency of breaches in the modern world was one of the numerous questions that were posed in questionnaires and interviews. Participants disclosed that since 2011, there have been more security breaches. The inquiry entailed enquiring about the number of breaches that each industry could recall in the corresponding year. The responses were collated and shown in a graph, as depicted in Table 1. The orange line represents the frequency in each year, and the blue line represents the progression of years. The pattern suggests that breaches rise over time. Participants cited technology advancement as the primary factor contributing to hostile assaults.

Table 1: Increase in Frequency of Security Breaches

Year	Frequency
2011	18
2012	17
2013	19
2014	12
2015	21
2016	11
2017	11
2018	16
2019	18
2020	21

Figure 2: Increase in Frequency of Security Breaches

However, from Table 1 or Figure 2, one is able to realize an exponential growth in the incidence of security breaches over the last ten years. It peaks at 21 incidents in 2015 and repeats in 2020. This thus forms a trend that illustrates the increased prevalence of security threats; perhaps organizations are facing more sophisticated threats recently with advancement in technology. Different annual ups and downs of breach frequencies reflect changes in the security measures by organizations or in the attack techniques, or both. A general uptrend is what is usually observed, reflecting how demanding the needs are for a far superior set of security protocols with the increase in the number of breaches.

Concerns raised about variables that may be impacting the uptake of IoT and cloud computing technologies:

F1: Privacy, Security, and Trust

F2: Using deep learning (DL) and machine learning (ML), quick attack identification and mitigation

F3: The significance of IDS, IPS, and firewalls

F4: Policies for the use of resources

F5: Agreement on Service Level

F6: Employing ML and DL for Load Balancing

F7: Minimal operating and maintenance expenses

Table 2 emphasizes the significance of machine learning and deep learning in identifying and reducing cyberattacks, as well as the crucial role that security plays in cloud applications. Strong security procedures, in the opinion of the vast majority of participants (93%) are necessary to handle privacy and confidentiality issues. But 7% of respondents believe that these problems cannot be completely solved by technology alone because there is still a high chance of human misbehavior. The results emphasize the significance of putting in place thorough security measures, such as firewalls, intrusion detection and prevention systems, anti-malware programs, and hardware authentication, as well as the critical role that cutting-edge technologies, like machine learning and deep learning, play in optimizing information security.

Table 2: Factors Affecting Cloud and IoT Adoption

Factors	Influence %
F1	93
F2	91
F3	90
F4	88
F5	82
F6	80
F7	71

Influence %

	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	F7
Influence %	93	91	90	88	82	80	71

Figure 3: Factors Affecting Cloud and IoT Adoption

Figure 3, Table 2 shows the factors that influence the adoption of cloud computing and IoT technologies as perceived by the survey participants: Privacy, security, and trust is the most important concern, highlighted by a considerable percentage of 93% of respondents. Other important factors are deep learning for attack detection and mitigation, and other machine-learning approaches-ninety-one percent agreed to this factor (F2), while traditional security measures, including intrusion detection and prevention systems, find their importance assessed by 90% participants as essential. Resource policies, service level agreements, and load balancing were other factors identified, but considered less critical. Results have shown a consensus on the need for comprehensive security and advanced technologies that can manage the challenges of cloud and IoT adoption. The opinions of those who operate in the cloud storage sector support the need for security measures, emphasising the use of human behaviour analytics to supplement digital systems that ensure privacy. The study's conclusions offer answers to the privacy and confidentiality issues as well as the essential details regarding how cloud storage is susceptible to assault and how to prevent future intrusions.

4.2. Reliability and Normality Analysis

The data was checked for compliance with the ANOVA assumptions using a normality analysis as shown in table 3. Because the test values for Kurtosis and Skewness were so near to zero, it may be concluded that the data has a normal distribution and is symmetrically distributed. It is not possible to reject the null hypothesis of normality because the probability values for skewness (0.0000000400) and kurtosis (0.0000000800) are both significantly greater than the significance level of $\alpha = 0.20$. As a result, the data is regarded as regularly distributed, supporting the application of ANOVA in additional analysis.

Table 3: Tests for Normality

Normality Attributes	Test Value	Probability Level	Reject Normality? (α=0.2000000000)
Skewness	0.000000006	0.0000000400	No
Kurtosis	0.0000000000	0.0000000800	No

Cronbach's Alpha, a measure of the internal consistency of the factors impacting the adoption of cloud and IoT-based technologies, was used to conduct the reliability analysis for the study. High reliability is shown by the results for every factor in the below table 4. With an Alpha value of 0.9550, factor F1 (trust, security, and privacy) demonstrated the strongest internal consistency and the highest level of reliability. The next closest factor, F2 (Fast Attack Detection and Mitigation using ML and DL), had an Alpha of 0.9452, indicating strong reliability. The importance of firewalls, IDS, and IPS—factor F3—also showed good reliability, scoring 0.9360. Notwithstanding being somewhat lower, factors F4 through F7 showed solid internal consistency, with Cronbach's Alpha values ranging from 0.8461 to 0.8763. These discoveries recommend that the measurements utilized in the review are accurate and reliable.

International Advance Journal of Engineering, Science and Management (IAJESM)

Multidisciplinary, Indexed, Double Blind, Open Access, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed-International Journal.

SJIF Impact Factor = 7.938, July-December 2024, Submitted in September 2024, ISSN -2393-8048

Table 4: Reliability Analysis

Variable/Factors	Experts IT Industry (N=60)
	Cronbach Alpha
F1	0.9550
F2	0.9452
F3	0.9360
F4	0.8763
F5	0.8590
F6	0.8565
F7	0.8461

Figure 4: Reliability Analysis

4.3. Case Study

Target Data Breach (2013)

In 2013, Target Corporation fell victim to one of the largest data breaches in retail history, where credit and debit card information of about 40 million customers was exposed. Initial suspicion appeared to fall on malware installed on Target's point-of-sale systems. The attackers had accessed Target's network using stolen credentials from a third-party vendor, Fazio Mechanical Services, which maintained Target's HVAC-heating, ventilation, and air conditioning-systems. After gaining internal access to Target's network, the attackers continued to navigate and install malware on the POS systems. The hackers were capturing the payment card data as both cards went through regular transactions. The breach case showed critical vulnerabilities in third-party vendor management and how all entry points need to be treated with scrutiny to make something secure. Remediation costs, legal fees, and compensation for affected customers comprised some of the major losses that Target faced due to the breach. This further damaged the brand and eroded consumer trust. On this count, Target did catch up with a complete overhaul of security on all fronts-monitoring systems, encryption practices, and vetting of third-party vendors. The case illustrates that strict security does not apply just within one's organization but also in its value chain, implying that monitoring has to be incessant and responses to threats have to be prompt.

4.4. Results from Interview

Question 1: "From experience, how would you say that security challenges in cloud computing have changed in the last decade and which emerging technologies or practices are most involved in meeting this new challenge?"

Interviewee 1: In any case, over the last decade, the security landscape of cloud computing has grown colossally. The major initial concerns were the security of data while in transit and

at rest. Just as these cloud services have matured, so too have the security challenges. With misconfigurations and vulnerabilities, data breaches, and unauthorized access have equally risen in their attendant issues. The foreword to multi-cloud and hybrid cloud has also brought along challenges with regard to the consistent management of security policies across multiple platforms. In dynamic environments of this kind, traditional security is usually inadequate. At the center of this development has been a pronounced trend toward implementing security best practices and technologies. For example, there has been more movement towards implementing Zero Trust Architecture, which assumes that threats can either be inside or outside the network and therefore allows for stringent verification measures at each request.

Interviewee 2: Certainly, all these challenges are also being overcome with the help of emerging technologies. For example, the cloud-native security solution space around SIEM systems has reached a level where real-time threat detection and response can be effectively delivered. Furthermore, threat intelligence is enhanced by using machine learning and AI to enable automated response processes. This enables faster and more accurate anomaly identification and potential threats than possible with traditional techniques. The other essential practice is the use of automated compliance utilities that work on a continuous basis to monitor and enforce security policies within cloud environments.

Question 2: "As the IoT devices begin to extend their implementation in various sectors, what do you consider some of the most critical privacy and security issues that you are dealing with, and how will organizations be able to effectively mitigate those risks?"

Interviewee 1: Of course, the integration of IoT devices has brought several critical privacy and security concerns. One of the main issues is indeed the enormous amount of different types of devices lacking standardized security measures. This lack of standardization presents difficulties in undertaking standardized security protocols and is hence highly vulnerable. Besides the security issues, IoT devices mostly operate on the collection and transmission of personal data about the individuals, which is a very serious privacy issue. Other issues include the compromise of devices and unauthorized access to data. Many IoT devices are weak in authentication mechanisms and seldom updated. They are, therefore, prone to attacks. What's more, the relation of these devices to wider network ecosystems provides entry points into more sensitive systems for an attacker.

Interviewee 2: These risks justify a multilayered security approach by organizations, including the implementation of strong authentication protocols, keeping the devices regularly updated with the latest security patches, and using encryption for data in transit. Network segmentation is very much essential to segregate IoT devices from other critical systems, hence limiting the probable impact of a breach. Besides, periodic running of security assessment and audits should be carried out by organizations to identify and fix vulnerabilities. The overall adoption of extensive IoT security frameworks and guidelines may also contribute to a greater role in managing and mitigating the risks effectively.

5. CONCLUSION

The research on the changing face of cybersecurity in cloud computing and IoT was presented. The integration of case studies, expert interviews, and data from questionnaires evidenced that security breaches have mounted over the last decade and underlined what crucial role advanced technologies play in responding to these challenges. The findings indicate that even as traditional security measures remain a must-have, emerging technologies like ML and DL are increasingly being leveraged to further improve threat detection and mitigation. Privacy, security, and trust remain some of the most paramount issues, and a considerable portion of the respondents emphasized the need for holistic and adaptive security solutions. This research also emphasizes the need for good security practice on all the layers within an infrastructure of an organization, including a third-party vendor management strategy, as extrapolated through the case study of the Target data breach. The results of expert interviews confirm that the security landscape is rapidly changing due to

new challenges from advanced technology integration with complex multi-cloud environments.

5.1. Suggestions for Future Research

1. **Artificial Intelligence:** Future research will be on cybersecurity measures using AI. It is believed that AI will be a game-changer in threat detection due to predictive analytics and follow-on automated responses. Specific research might aim at how AI can identify pattern anomalies that define potential security threats, reduce false positives, and accelerate incident response times.

2. **Real-Time Monitoring:** In particular, is real-time monitoring, in the face of the continuingly growing complexity of cyber threats. The development and testing of such systems using real-time analysis of network traffic, user behavior, and system anomalies are what further research may focus on. Tests would include studies on how effective real-time monitoring tools are in finding and responding to security incidents before things get out of hand, how such tools can be honed for different kinds of cloud and IoT environments, among others.

3. **Blockchain technology:** It is a very recent domain of interest and promise that could lead to increased security and, in some instances, also transparency. Further research may be specifically devoted to the investigation of blockchain applications to cloud-IoT systems security, with special attention to immutable transaction records, enhancement of data integrity, and possibly supported decentralized security models. Other investigations should go into deeper detail on how blockchain can be integrated with existing frameworks to solve particular challenges in data privacy, access control, and auditing.

REFERENCES

1. A. Aldweesh, A. Al-Qerem, and A. Y. Al Maqousi, "Cryptographic Protocols for Internet of Things," in Innovations in Modern Cryptography, 2024, pp. 431.
2. J. B. Dinesh and J. S. Murthy, "CloudGuardian: Safeguarding the Internet of Things (IoT)–Navigating Security Frontiers in Cloud-Connected Ecosystems," in Cloud Security, Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2024, pp. 263-274.
3. F. Firouzi, B. Farahani, and A. Marinšek, "The convergence and interplay of edge, fog, and cloud in the AI-driven Internet of Things (IoT)," Information Systems, vol. 107, pp. 101840, 2022.
4. K. Gaiova, M. Prauzek, J. Konecny, and M. Borova, "A concept for a cloud-driven controller for wireless sensors in IoT devices," IFAC-PapersOnLine, vol. 55, no. 4, pp. 254-259, 2022.
5. N. K. Sehgal, P. C. P. Bhatt, and J. M. Acken, "Future trends in cloud computing," in Cloud computing with security and scalability. Concepts and practices, Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2022, pp. 289-317.
6. R. Sivan and Z. A. Zukarnain, "Security and privacy in cloud-based e-health system," Symmetry, vol. 13, no. 5, p. 742, 2021.
7. M. Wazid, A. K. Das, R. Hussain, G. Succi, and J. J. Rodrigues, "Authentication in cloud-driven IoT-based big data environment: Survey and outlook," Journal of Systems Architecture, vol. 97, pp. 185-196, 2019.
8. M. Wazid, A. K. Das, S. Shetty, P. Gope, and J. J. Rodrigues, "Security in 5G-enabled internet of things communication: Issues, challenges, and future research roadmap," IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 4466-4489, 2020.
9. U. Zaman, Imran, F. Mehmood, N. Iqbal, J. Kim, and M. Ibrahim, "Towards secure and intelligent internet of health things: A survey of enabling technologies and applications," Electronics, vol. 11, no. 12, p. 1893, 2022.
10. Rahman, A., Islam, J., Kundu, D., Karim, R., Rahman, Z., Band, S. S., ... & Kumar, N. (2023). Impacts of blockchain in software-defined Internet of Things ecosystem with

Network Function Virtualization for smart applications: Present perspectives and future directions. International Journal of Communication Systems, e5429.

11. Rao, P. M., & Deebak, B. D. (2023). A comprehensive survey on authentication and secure key management in internet of things: Challenges, countermeasures, and future directions. Ad Hoc Networks, 146, 103159.

12. Obi, O. C., Dawodu, S. O., Daraojimba, A. I., Onwusinkwue, S., Akagha, O. V., & Ahmad, I. A. I. (2024). Review of evolving cloud computing paradigms: security, efficiency, and innovations. Computer Science & IT Research Journal, 5(2), 270-292.

13. Dinesh, J. B., & Murthy, J. S. (2024). CloudGuardian: Safeguarding the Internet of Things (IoT)–Navigating Security Frontiers in Cloud-Connected Ecosystems. In Cloud Security (pp. 263-274). Chapman and Hall/CRC.

14. Aljabri, J. B. (2023). Secure monitoring system for industrial internet of things using searchable encryption, access control and machine learning.

15. Verma, U., & Bhardwaj, D. (2022) Fog Computing Paradigm for Internet of Things Applications. *Ambient Intelligence and Internet of Things: Convergent Technologies*, 243-271.