International Advance Journal of Engineering, Science and Management (IAJESM)
Multidisciplinary, Indexed, Double Blind, Open Access, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed-International Journal.
SJIF Impact Factor =7.938, July-December 2024, Submitted in August 2024, ISSN -2393-8048

Algorithms, Agility, and Adapting Value: A Multi-Industry Framework for AI-Driven Business Model Innovation

Arunima Arora (Research Scholar), Dept. of Management, Sikkim Alpine University, Namchi (Sikkim)
Dr. Dilip Kumar (Assistant Professor) Dept. of Management, Sikkim Alpine University, Namchi (Sikkim)

Abstract

In recent years, artificial intelligence has gone from being an IT add-on to a fundamental factor in how businesses generate, distribute, and absorb profits. However, rather than seeing AI adoption as a catalyst for BMI, the majority of current research views it as an issue with technological deployment. To bridge that knowledge gap, this paper presents the AAA Framework, an integrative framework that utilizes algorithmic capability, organizational agility based on dynamic capabilities theory, and the reconfiguration of the four core value dimensions of a business model. This study takes a look at the framework and how it works in five different industries: retail and e-commerce, financial services, healthcare, manufacturing, and the media/software-as-a-service (SaaS) platform economy. It draws on dynamic capabilities theory, the business model canvas tradition, and recent empirical evidence on generative and agentic AI. Consistent trends emerge from cross-industry comparisons, including the following: the move away from static pricing and toward usage-and outcome-based models; the movement of decision rights toward agentic and decentralized systems; and the growing disparity between the adoption of AI and the value it drives. Discussions of governance and regulatory risk, a practitioner-oriented implementation roadmap, and ideas for further study make up the paper's conclusion. Sustainable AI-driven business model innovation, according to the report, is dependent on organizational agility more than computational expertise, which is necessary to transform algorithmic capabilities into a truly new value architecture.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence (AI); Business Model Innovation (BMI); Dynamic Capabilities; Organizational Agility; AAA Framework.

1. Introduction

The last 20 years have seen artificial intelligence (AI) mostly linked to robotic process automation, enhanced operational efficiency, and decreased operational costs in the corporate world. The strategic importance of AI has grown, however, as its capabilities have progressed, beyond the automation of certain tasks. To better understand how AI might impact their products, pricing strategies, service delivery, relationships with customers, and partnerships, more and more organizations are investigating this technology. Artificial intelligence (AI) has the potential to change corporate decision-making, innovation, and business models instead of just improving current procedures, according to research (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2017; Jarrahi, 2018; Davenport et al., 2020). Therefore, AI is becoming more important to the larger framework that companies use to generate, distribute, and retain value.

There is more at stake than just access to technology. Many businesses now have access to cutting-edge cloud computing, data analytics, machine learning, and artificial intelligence technology. However, new business models are not necessarily born out of having access to these technology. Adapting one's value proposition, delivery mechanisms, decision structures, and revenue models to take advantage of opportunities presented by algorithmic capabilities to change the economics of value creation is the key to significant transformation, according to this paper. The idea that organizations can sense opportunities, grab them, and adapt their resources and

structures to new technology and environmental challenges is central to dynamic capabilities theory, which provides the theoretical foundation for this argument (Teece, 2007). The study adds three main points against this backdrop. Starting with the development of the AAA Framework—which links Algorithmic Capability, Organizational Agility, and Adapting Value—it incorporates theories of dynamic capacities, innovation in business models, and existing research on AI-enabled organizational change. at the second part, we look at commonalities and differences in AI-driven business model transformation across five main

International Advance Journal of Engineering, Science and Management (IAJESM)
Multidisciplinary, Indexed, Double Blind, Open Access, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed-International Journal.
SJIF Impact Factor =7.938, July-December 2024, Submitted in August 2024, ISSN -2393-8048

industries: retail and e-commerce, healthcare, financial services, manufacturing, and media/software/platform enterprises. Third, the research takes into account the ethical, regulatory, governance, and organizational hurdles that come with integrating algorithmic decision-making into processes like pricing, underwriting, customer engagement, and service delivery, and it turns the theoretical framework into a method for managerial implementation. This is the structure that the rest of the paper follows. In Section 2, we cover the theoretical underpinnings and pertinent literature. In Section 3, we build the AAA Framework. Section 4 applies the framework to each of the five chosen industries, and Section 5 compares and contrasts the various industries. The difficulties of AI-driven change in governance, ethics, regulations, and organizations are discussed in Section 6. Part 7 lays out a plan for how managers can put it into action, Part 8 talks about where the study fell short and where it could go from here, and Section 9 summarizes the paper's main theoretical and management implications.

2. Theoretical Foundations

2.1 Business Model Innovation as the Unit of Analysis

Business models explain how companies develop, deliver, and capture value (Osterwalder & Pigneur, 2010; Amit & Zott, 2001). BMI changes the architecture of value—the customer value proposition, the resources and activities used to deliver it, and the mechanism by which the firm converts delivered value into revenue and margin—unlike product or process innovation (Chesbrough, 2010). A lot of enterprise AI research focuses on process-level efficiency gains — faster customer service, cheaper document processing, more accurate forecasting — without asking if those gains are translating into a different business model. According to a recent systematic review of AI-driven business model innovation in service industries, which synthesized 113 studies, the most significant effects of AI are not from task automation alone but from the interplay between value creation, value proposition, and value capture, and organizational readiness is as important as technological capability in determining outcomes. This article assesses AI-driven change using a four-part business model decomposition: value proposition, value creation and delivery, value capture, and value network. From this breakdown, the research can separate enterprises who have used AI to redesign their products and payment methods (a business model change) from those that have used AI to accomplish the same thing cheaper.

2.2 Dynamic Capabilities and Organizational Agility

According to dynamic capabilities theory, a firm's ability to sense opportunities and threats, seize them through timely resource allocation, and adapt its resource base and organizational structure to sustain competitive advantage in fast-changing environments is more important than its resources. Teece, Peteraf, and Leih (2016) apply this reasoning to organizational agility, arguing that agility is a calibrated ability to change specific elements of the organization when deep uncertainty, not calculable risk, requires it. Despite modeling project risks, AI capabilities improvement direction and rate are unclear, which is crucial to AI-driven business model transformation.

2.3 AI as a Differentiated Strategic Resource

The strategic value of various AI capabilities varies. In their taxonomy, Huang and Rust (2021) differentiate between three types of artificial intelligence: mechanical, thinking, and feeling. Mechanical AI automates regular, repetitive operations. Thinking AI provides analytical decision support and systematizes data processing. Feeling AI, on the other hand, is capable of emotionally and socially attuned engagement. Business model analysis benefits from this taxonomy because various levels of AI capability typically reconfigure different aspects of the business model. For example, mechanical AI typically reduces operating costs within an existing value architecture, thinking AI changes how firms segment customers and price offerings, and feeling AI empowers forms of personalization and interaction that were previously impossible at scale, changing the value proposition itself.

3. The AAA Framework: Algorithms, Agility, and Adapting Value

An organization's agility is useless without the ability to modify its business model, and algorithmic competence is the bedrock of the system this article proposes. At their meeting point, business model change happens. Long-term success comes from consciously targeting the firm's value architecture, not letting it accumulate as incidental process improvement. What follows is a description of the three pillars that make up the framework, and then we will talk about how they operate together.

3.1 Pillar One: Algorithms — Tiered Algorithmic Capability

The AAA Framework's first pillar focuses on an organization's algorithmic capabilities, not only sold, evaluated, or piloted technologies. There are three approaches to evaluate algorithmic competency. Tier 1 rule-based automation applies human-defined rules to organized tasks. Automated discount policies, customer assistance chatbots, and workflow automation are examples. This type of application aims to improve operational efficiency and decrease costs without changing the company paradigm.

Content, risk assessments, ideas, and projections from machine learning and generative AI systems help Tier 2 predictive and generative augmentation professionals. This includes personalized suggestions, demand forecasting, credit-risk assessment, predictive maintenance, and AI-assisted content production. In general, people make the final choice, but AI makes organizational decision-making faster, more accurate, and more scalable. AI and machine learning have strategic promise in process redesign, organizational learning, prediction, and decision support, according to many studies.

Tier 3 AI systems can perform interdependent tasks and make limited decisions without human intervention. Technology, ethics, and governance still prevent fully autonomous organizational decision-making. According to prior studies, robots are becoming more autonomous and humans and AI can now divide decision-making duties (Shrestha, Ben-Menahem, & von Krogh, 2019; Dellermann et al., 2019). Algorithmic solutions may affect decision-intensive processes including operational scheduling, client engagement, dynamic pricing, and resource allocation at this point. Therefore, complex algorithmic capabilities may affect value creation and delivery as well as operational efficiency.

Organizations likely have multiple algorithmic competence levels. Certain departments and sectors can employ rule-based automation, predictive systems, generative apps, and increasingly autonomous systems. Business model innovation requires considering not only whether an organization has implemented AI, but also its algorithmic capability, value architecture placement, and integration with organizational processes and decision-making structures. Due to this divergence, the AAA Framework views algorithms as a strategic capacity whose impact on business models depends on their sophistication and organizational integration.

3.2 Pillar Two: Agility — Sensing, Seizing, and Transforming Around AI

Teece and colleagues' dynamic capabilities approach makes organizational agility the AAA Framework's second pillar. In an AI-driven environment, agility involves seeing new opportunities, acting quickly to take them, and adapting your organization's resources and structures. Sensing looks on methods AI may continuously improve products and services' efficiency, economics, or value potential. Firms must allocate finances, develop decision-making positions, and assemble multidisciplinary teams to transform AI concepts into lucrative companies. When an organization's organizational structures, revenue models, processes, and capabilities do not support its AI-enabled business model, it must evolve.

Organizational change is necessary before using AI to avoid incremental process improvements instead of business model innovation. Dynamic capabilities study shows that firms facing technological uncertainty need flexible organizational structures and the ability to rearrange resources and capabilities (Teece, Peteraf, & Leih, 2016). As Warner and Wäger (2019) showed, digital transformation requires firms to be flexible in their business models, organizational structures, and collaboration. The AAA Framework uses agility to bridge the gap between algorithmic capacity and value adaptability, ensuring that integrating AI into the firm's value architecture leads to durable and significant changes rather than just new processes.

3.3 Pillar Three: Adapting Value — Reconfiguring the Four Value Dimensions

As for the third pillar, it is all about the exercise's point: the change in the business model itself. This study gives a four-dimensional breakdown of that transformation.

- Propositional value: what is on offer evolves, either from a flat tool to a guaranty of a certain result, or from a generic to a hyper-personalized solution.
- The process of creating and delivering value: from human-executed service delivery to argentic execution under human supervision is one example of a transition in this area.
- Capturing value: the company's revenue model shifts from a flat license price to something more like a subscription-tiered, usage-based, or outcome-linked model.
- The value network: changes in who helps deliver the service, such as the rise of API ecosystems and algorithmic marketplaces that reorganize the relationship between a company, its data or model providers, and outside developers.

3.4 Interaction Effects and Feedback Loops

There is no particular order to the three pillars; rather, they support one another. The first pillar, algorithmic competence, provides the building blocks for value reconfiguration; the second, organizational agility, is what turns those building blocks into a change in the value architecture. The relationship is important because it works both ways. For example, when a company switches from charging for licenses to charging based on consumption, the granular data they collect about their users' usage becomes fresh input for algorithms, which opens up new possibilities for sensing. This feedback loop sheds light on the reasons why AI-driven business model innovation works wonders for companies that nail the agility pillar, but hits a wall for others that view AI as nothing more than a means to cut costs attached to an unchanging value architecture.

4. Multi-Industry Application of the AAA Framework

This section utilizes the AAA Framework on five selected industries: retail and e-commerce, healthcare, financial services, manufacturing, and the media/software-as-a-service (SaaS) platform economy. These businesses cover a wide spectrum of algorithmic maturity, regulatory severity, and value-capture logic.

One industry that has seen the profound impact of enhanced algorithmic capabilities on value capture and distribution is e-commerce and retail. By analyzing factors including past demand, rival pricing, inventory availability, consumer behavior, seasonal patterns, and market circumstances, AI-enabled pricing solutions enable businesses to surpass traditional static pricing. Prior studies found that algorithmic and data-driven pricing can help businesses adapt faster to shifting market conditions and make better pricing decisions. One study found that algorithmic pricing is becoming more important in online marketplaces (Chen, Mislove, and Wilson, 2016). Another study found that autonomous pricing algorithms can learn pricing strategies from repeated market interactions (Calvano et al., 2020). These changes show that there is a shift away from rigid pricing policies and toward methods of value capture that are more data-driven and adaptable.

Beyond price, AI has several uses in retail, including customized suggestions, demand forecasting, inventory management, consumer segmentation, and automated interactions with customers. Artificial intelligence (AI) has the potential to revolutionize marketing by enhancing prediction, personalization, and consumer interaction (Davenport et al., 2020). Similarly, Huang and Rust (2021) elucidated how various marketing roles can make use of AI capabilities, based on the complexity and nature of the intelligence called for. When it comes to online shopping, these features let stores cater to each customer's unique preferences while also enhancing operational decisions like stock levels, sales, and resource allocation. Both the value proposition presented to customers and the internal processes that generate and distribute that value are susceptible to algorithmic capability. However, according to the AAA Framework, having access to complex algorithms is not a guaranty of innovative business

International Advance Journal of Engineering, Science and Management (IAJESM)
Multidisciplinary, Indexed, Double Blind, Open Access, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed-International Journal.
SJIF Impact Factor =7.938, July-December 2024, Submitted in August 2024, ISSN -2393-8048
models. The ability to quickly implement algorithmic insights into business decisions is dependent on how agile an organization is. To allow quick changes in pricing, promotions, inventory allocation, and customer offerings, retailers require an appropriate data architecture, integrated POS and inventory systems, cross-functional collaboration, and governance processes. Instead than adopting technology in silos, Verhoef et al. (2021) stressed the need of coordinating changes to organizational structures, resources, competences, and business models to achieve digital transformation. Thus, AI-driven retail transformation is more than just adding pricing or recommendation algorithms; it is about reshaping algorithmic capabilities, organizational agility, value proposition, value delivery, and value capture all at once.

Financial Services: Algorithmic Underwriting and Decentralized Decision Rights

Credit scoring, fraud detection, risk management, underwriting, investment choices, and customer service are just a few areas where financial services have been early adopters of artificial intelligence. To make better, faster financial decisions, more and more financial organizations are turning to machine-learning algorithms that can analyze big and diverse datasets, rather than depending just on traditional statistical models and manually determined criteria. According to Jagtiani and Lemieux (2019), fintech lenders' use of alternative data and machine-learning techniques can impact the efficiency of lending choices and help with credit-risk assessment. A related study by Bussmann et al. (2021) looked at explainable machine learning as it pertains to credit-risk management. The authors stressed the importance of ensuring that complex prediction models have methods to make their conclusions understandable for stakeholders and financial institutions.

One such way that algorithms change organizational decision-making power dynamics is through their implementation. Conventional wisdom, established processes, and hierarchical approval systems have long been the backbone of the lending and underwriting industries. More sophisticated algorithmic systems will allow for the automation or use of real-time predictive models to bolster some of these decisions. Increasing AI capabilities can cause firms to reevaluate the distribution of decision-making power between humans and machines, as Shrestha, Ben-Menahem, and von Krogh (2019) explained. This does not rule out the possibility of some degree of human intervention in the financial sector. As an alternative, organizations need to figure out which judgments algorithms can handle, which ones need human oversight, and who is ultimately responsible for the results that algorithms help determine. Because of the constant need for governance and organizational structure adaptation in response to evolving algorithmic capabilities, this highlights the AAA Framework's agility pillar.

AI has the potential to revolutionize the way financial institutions extract profit by facilitating more personalized and real-time risk assessments. Instead of depending solely on generalized customer categories and occasionally updated evaluations, financial choices such as credit conditions, insurance premiums, and fraud controls can increasingly incorporate real-time or near-real-time data. Nevertheless, there are significant problems about governance that arise from such customization. Data quality, interpretability, and financial inclusion are some of the challenges raised by Bazarbash (2019), who also brought attention to the potential of machine learning for credit-risk assessment. As a result, proper human oversight, data governance, openness, and accountability are necessary companions to algorithmic expertise in the financial sector.

Thus, the financial services sector proves, under the AAA Framework, that organizational agility and algorithmic capability must grow hand in hand. Sustainable business model innovation can only happen when institutions have the correct decision rights, governance structures, compliance processes, and organizational change in place, even if they have advanced predictive technologies. There is an especially heavy burden on financial institutions to combine innovation with regulatory accountability, in contrast to less regulated industries. So, rather than seeing AI-driven risk assessment and underwriting as technical automation, one should see it as part of a larger reorganization of decision-making, value delivery, risk management, and value capture.

Healthcare: Value-Based Care and Outcome-Linked Value Capture

Given that algorithmic capabilities frequently impact the value proposition and value delivery before significantly altering value-capture mechanisms, the healthcare industry stands out as an example of AI-driven business model innovation. Healthcare providers can benefit from AI-powered diagnostic aids, clinical decision-support systems, predictive analytics, and patient-monitoring technology in a number of ways, including the ability to better detect hazards, make more accurate diagnoses, and prompt earlier actions. Though clinical knowledge and proper supervision are still crucial, Jiang et al. (2017) noted that AI has great promise in many domains, including diagnosis, therapy, and health-data administration. In a similar vein, Topol (2019) stated that AI can best serve the healthcare industry by augmenting human clinicians' skills rather than supplanting them. As a result, limiting autonomous decision-making is less appropriate for most high-consequence therapeutic applications than human-supervised algorithmic augmentation. Additionally, these advancements can facilitate a shift away from the conventional fee-for-service healthcare model and toward one that is value-based and outcome-oriented. Conventional wisdom holds that the amount of consultations, treatments, or services provided is directly proportional to the net revenue. With the help of AI, monitoring and prediction skills can improve health outcomes and make them more measurable. This can lead to models where financial value is more closely tied to enhancements in patient outcomes, efficiency, quality, or prevention. According to Davenport and Kalakota (2019), healthcare AI is most useful for diagnosis and treatment, patient engagement, and administrative tasks. This indicates that AI has the potential to impact healthcare delivery in both the clinical and organizational realms. From the point of view of the AAA Framework, these advancements show how better algorithmic capabilities can progressively reorganize the value proposition, the system for delivering value, and finally, the process for capturing value.

Another industry that shows how interconnected governance, privacy, safety, and regulatory needs are with organizational agility is healthcare. Since unfettered algorithmic autonomy could have dire effects on patients in clinical applications, it is far more challenging to implement than in many business contexts. Attention to validation, implementation, integration of workflows, ethical concerns, and regulatory challenges are crucial for the successful application of AI in healthcare (He et al., 2019). Prior to extending autonomous capabilities into high-risk clinical decisions, healthcare organizations can reap the benefits of AI in administrative and operational tasks including scheduling, documentation, resource allocation, billing, and workflow management. The healthcare industry shows that the ability to match algorithmic competence with clinical procedures, human expertise, governance, and institutional agility is just as important as technology sophistication when it comes to AI-driven transformation inside the AAA Framework.

Enterprise Transformation and the Integration of AI in Manufacturing and Industry 4.0

Manufacturing provides another important setting for examining AI-driven business model innovation because AI technologies can be incorporated into existing production and enterprise systems to improve predictive maintenance, production planning, quality control, demand forecasting, supply-chain management, and process optimization. It is common practice for companies to gradually integrate AI into their ERP, factory execution, sensor, and operational systems rather than radically overhauling their manufacturing infrastructures all at once. Lee, Bagheri, and Kao (2015) demonstrated the importance of cyber-physical systems and analytics within Industry 4.0 environments, where interconnected machines and data enable more intelligent and adaptive manufacturing processes. Similarly, Frank, Dalenogare, and Ayala (2019) revealed that Industry 4.0 technologies are used in interconnected patterns including smart manufacturing and smart goods, rather than as entirely isolated technologies.

Machine learning and industrial data can help producers with an intermediate level of algorithmic skill anticipate equipment breakdowns, pinpoint quality issues, improve production schedules, and bolster maintenance decisions. One way to enhance monitoring and decision-making is by using digital representations of physical assets. By bridging the gap between real-world production systems and their digital counterparts, digital twins and data integration can facilitate better analysis and optimization of operations (Tao et al., 2018). Starting off, these apps make production systems more responsive, decrease downtime, increase product quality, and improve asset utilization, all of which contribute to strengthening current value-creation processes.
But there is more to AI-driven transformation that can last than just piling on new tech to old systems. Manufacturing organizations need the organizational agility to integrate data across functions, rethink processes, combine technology and managerial resources, and reconfigure established systems. According to Warner and Wäger (2019), in order to undergo digital transformation, one must be able to respond quickly to changes in technology, identify and capitalize on new opportunities, and revamp internal processes and company models. This means that advanced algorithms create more strategic value from an AAA Framework standpoint when manufacturers have the organizational and architectural skills to integrate them into their core production and decision-making processes.In the long run, AI has the potential to influence not just production processes, but also the value proposition and value-capture model of the company. Data generated by connected items and equipment can enable a move from conventional product sales toward servitization, predictive-maintenance services, performance contracts, and outcome-oriented solutions. To show how digital capabilities can support new product-service combinations, Kohtamäki et al. (2019) linked digitalization with servitization and business-model development in industrial firms. So, instead of just selling equipment, a manufacturer may start giving service-based outcomes like availability, maintenance, performance, and so on. In the AAA Framework, manufacturing represents the transition from algorithmic operational improvement to organizational transformation and eventually business model reconfiguration, where AI-enabled measurement and prediction make new kinds of value generation and capture economically feasible.
Platform Economics, Media, and Software: APIs and Algorithms
One area where AI is already having a noticeable impact on value generation, distribution, and capture is in the media, software, and platform sectors. In contrast to companies whose mainstay is tangible goods, digital enterprises have the advantage of being able to include algorithmic capabilities into their offerings right from the start. Thus, the consumer value proposition can incorporate AI-enabled recommendation systems, intelligent search, automated content generation, personalization, and software-development support. According to Nambisan, Lyytinen, Majchrzak, and Song (2017), digital technologies have allowed for more flexibility, recombination, and engagement among different actors, which has fundamentally impacted the processes and outcomes of innovation. Also, as Jacobides, Cennamo, and Gawer (2018) showed, digital ecosystems are structures in which different people and organizations work together to create value.
AI also opens doors for platform and software companies to shift from fixed-price and perpetual licencing models to subscriptions, usage-based pricing, and API access. By making digital resources available on demand, companies can tie revenue more directly to use and utilization, which benefits both consumers and developers. By easing communication between users, developers, complementors, and other members of the ecosystem, platform companies can generate and retain value (Cusumano, Gawer, & Yoffie, 2019). By letting third-party companies include specific digital capabilities into their own apps and processes, APIs bolster this architecture even further. As a result, algorithmic skills can serve as both internal technical resources and freely available, monetizable parts of a larger digital ecosystem.

Since innovation is happening more and more through interactions among platform owners, developers, data providers, cloud infrastructure providers, complementors, and end users, the value network is also changing as a result of AI-intensive platform development. According to Hein et al. (2020), in order to coordinate participation and value production, digital platform ecosystems necessitate suitable governance and rely on intricate relationships among various actors. According to the AAA Framework, software and platform companies need to be nimble in order to adapt to changing user needs and technology capabilities, launch new AI-powered services quickly, and adjust pricing and platform governance methods to keep up with the ecosystem.

The media, software, and platform economy is thus an excellent example of a sector that has successfully used algorithmic capabilities to introduce novel business models. Algorithmic services are now scalable and quantifiable thanks to digital distribution, and advanced AI has the potential to revolutionize the product itself. Additionally, there are different ways to get your hands on the value that comes out of it through application programming interfaces, subscriptions, usage-based agreements, and platform ecosystems. Thus, the industry exemplifies all three cornerstones of the AAA Framework: Algorithms deliver scalable digital intelligence, Agility permits the ongoing adaptation of goods and organizational arrangements, and Adapting Value transforms these capacities into rethought value propositions, delivery methods, income structures, and ecosystem connections.

5. Cross-Industry Comparative Analysis

Table 1 highlights how the three pillars of the AAA Framework appear throughout the five industries reviewed in Section 4. Algorithmic capability, organizational agility, and value-model adaptation all interact in different ways, but this comparison shows where they overlap and where they differ.

Industry	Dominant algorithmic tier	Primary agility constraint	Value dimension most reshaped	Regulatory exposure
Retail / e-commerce	Tier 2–3: predictive pricing, growing agentic execution	Operational speed; clean data history for elasticity models	Value capture (pricing)	Moderate–rising (consumer-protection and pricing-transparency rules)
Financial services	Tier 2, centralized; Tier 3 emerging	Governance gap when moving from centralized to decentralized deployment	Value capture (risk-based pricing) and value delivery	High (fair-lending, anti-discrimination, prudential rules)
Healthcare	Tier 2, human-supervised; limited Tier 3 in administration	Regulatory approval cycles slower than model iteration	Value proposition, moving toward value capture (outcome-based contracts)	Very high (patient safety, liability)
Manufacturing / Industry 4.0	Tier 2 bolt-on, advancing toward Tier 3 in scheduling	Legacy enterprise architecture; need to treat EA as a dynamic capability	Value creation/delivery and value capture (servitization)	Moderate (safety, supply-chain compliance)
Media / SaaS / platforms	Tier 3: agentic, natively metered digital products	Managing marketplace/ecosystem governance and API economics	Value capture (usage- and outcome-based pricing) and value network	Rising (data, IP, and AI-specific regulation)

Across all five sectors, three trends emerge. First, across all industries studied, AI has substantially altered value capture from static, time-based, or per-unit pricing to usage-based, risk-based, or outcome-linked pricing. This shift is true across all sectors. Secondly, an organizational agility constraint, such as data readiness in retail, regulatory cycle time in healthcare, legacy architecture in manufacturing, or ecosystem governance in the platform economy, is nearly always the binding constraint on business model innovation, rather than algorithmic sophistication. Thirdly, the relationship between algorithmic decisions and individual consumers' prices, access to credit, or health outcomes is directly proportional to the regulatory exposure. As a result, the co-design of agility and compliance functions, rather than their sequential order, is becoming increasingly important.

6. Challenges, Risks, and Governance

The Adoption–Value Gap: AI adoption has been rapid across industries, but its commercial value is still unclear. Many organizations employ AI in some divisions but fail to bring it to other operations or make money. McKinsey & Company found that senior leadership involvement, workflow redesign, unambiguous success evaluation, and organizational preparation increase value realization. The AAA Framework argues that algorithmic capability alone cannot convert technological expertise into long-term firm value, and this proves it.

Algorithmic Pricing, Collusion, and Consumer-Protection Risk: Technology enables personalized and dynamic value capture, but algorithmic pricing raises concerns about price discrimination, transparency, consumer protection, and collusion. Companies using the same algorithms, databases, or third-party pricing platforms may unintentionally coordinate market activity. Due of this, US and EU regulators have cracked down on dynamic pricing, false marketing, and surveillance pricing. Companies using algorithmic pricing must include transparency, legal scrutiny, and compliance controls in their AI-enabled pricing plans, not just economic or technological factors.

Responsible AI, Governance, and the EU AI Act: The usage of AI-based decision-making has increased the need for ethical AI regulation. The EU AI Act advances AI law by developing a risk-based framework for various AI uses. Data quality, privacy, accountability, human monitoring, risk management, and transparency are becoming more vital to enterprises. Effective governance should evolve with algorithmic expertise. The AAA Framework states that responsible governance helps firms innovate while managing regulatory, ethical, and reputational issues, making them more nimble.

7. Managerial Implications: An Implementation

Build Tiered Algorithmic Capability Deliberately

- To find out where the company has untapped Tier 3 potential, it might be helpful to organize current AI installations by tier (rule-based, predictive/generative, agentic) instead of department.
- Put money into data infrastructure first, then models. To make algorithmic value capture credible, you need twelve to twenty-four months of clean transaction history, according to a number of the industry instances in Section 4.

Institutionalize Sensing, Seizing, and Transforming Routines

- To detect when algorithmic capability alters the fundamental economics of a particular service, it is necessary to set up a repeating, cross-functional process that brings together strategy, data science, and legal/compliance.
- Decreasing the reliance on antiquated yearly planning cycles for decision-making in favor of empowered, cross-functional teams; this will allow them to capture opportunities as they arise at a rate comparable to the rate of growth in algorithmic capabilities.
- Rather than letting the new algorithmic capabilities build up in parallel, decide to retire or restructure the old pricing, staffing, or operating model that is no longer optimal because of the new algorithm.

Redesign the Value Architecture, Not Just the Workflow

- Reject AI projects that offer only incremental efficiency inside an unaltered value architecture; instead, demand an articulated description of how each project intends to alter one of the four value dimensions: proposition, creation/delivery, capture, or network.
- Given the regulatory trajectory detailed in Section 6, it is recommended to incorporate legal and compliance units from the beginning of the design process, rather than waiting until launch, when value capture is being redesigned (usage-based, outcome-linked, or dynamic pricing).

8. Limitations and Future Research

Future research should address the limitations of this work. Research in the future should use firm-level survey or archive data to test the predictive validity of the AAA Framework and to operationalize the three pillars into measurable dimensions. The second point is that the examples of industry applications in Section 4 are more of a starting point than a comprehensive list; industries like logistics, energy, agriculture, and government necessitate their own regulatory and operational research. As a third point, the framework is very dependent on data collected from big, well-funded companies. An exciting opportunity for comparative study exists because small and medium-sized firms (SMEs) have limited access to governance infrastructure and cross-functional skills. Final thought: the tier borders of Pillar One are more of a snapshot of the state of the art in technology than a rigid taxonomy, especially considering how fast generative and agentic AI capabilities are emerging. Across functional domains, longitudinal studies would reveal the rate of capability migration from Tier 2 to Tier 3.

9. Conclusion

Why AI adoption become practically universal yet AI-driven business transformation has is relatively rare? That is the question this study set out to answer, drawing from the industries discussed and the larger empirical literature. Organizational agility, defined as the capacity to sense, seize, and transform, rather than variations in algorithmic access—which is becoming more commoditized across firms and sectors—is the primary explanation, according to the Algorithms, Agility, and Adapting Value framework put forth in this article. We find that algorithmic capability is changing value capture the most quickly, value proposition and delivery the slowest, and value networks unevenly depending on how metered and digitally native the underlying product is. This holds true across a wide range of industries, including retail, healthcare, manufacturing, financial services, and the media and software platform economy. Data readiness, governance design, regulatory cycle time, legacy architecture, and ecosystem cooperation are all organizational factors that limit the extent and pace of this reshaping, not the complexity of the underlying model. Managers should view organizational agility as a capability that needs to be intentionally built, rather than something that happens naturally as a result of investing in algorithms, and focus on business model redesign rather than technology deployment as the main goal of AI strategy if they want to close the adoption-to-value gap.

References

1. Amit, R., & Zott, C. (2001). Value creation in e-business. *Strategic Management Journal, 22*(6–7), 493–520.
2. Bazarbash, M. (2019). *FinTech in financial inclusion: Machine learning applications in assessing credit risk*. International Monetary Fund.
3. Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2017). The business of artificial intelligence. *Harvard Business Review, 95*(4), 3–11.
4. Bussmann, N., Giudici, P., Marinelli, D., & Papenbrock, J. (2021). Explainable machine learning in credit risk management. *Computational Economics, 57*, 203–216.
5. Calvano, E., Calzolari, G., Denicolò, V., & Pastorello, S. (2020). Artificial intelligence, algorithmic pricing, and collusion. *American Economic Review, 110*(10), 3267–3297.
6. Chen, L., Mislove, A., & Wilson, C. (2016). An empirical analysis of algorithmic pricing on Amazon Marketplace. In *Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on World Wide Web* (pp. 1339–1349). International World Wide Web Conferences Steering Committee.
7. Chesbrough, H. (2010). Business model innovation: Opportunities and barriers. *Long Range Planning, 43*(2–3), 354–363.
8. Cusumano, M. A., Gawer, A., & Yoffie, D. B. (2019). *The business of platforms: Strategy in the age of digital competition, innovation, and power*. Harper Business.
9. Davenport, T. H., Guha, A., Grewal, D., & Bressgott, T. (2020). How artificial intelligence will change the future of marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 48*, 24–42.
10. Davenport, T., & Kalakota, R. (2019). The potential for artificial intelligence in healthcare. *Future Healthcare Journal, 6*(2), 94–98.
11. Dellermann, D., Ebel, P., Söllner, M., & Leimeister, J. M. (2019). Hybrid intelligence. *Business & Information Systems Engineering, 61*, 637–643.
12. Frank, A. G., Dalenogare, L. S., & Ayala, N. F. (2019). Industry 4.0 technologies: Implementation patterns in manufacturing companies. *International Journal of Production Economics, 210*, 15–26.
13. He, J., Baxter, S. L., Xu, J., Xu, J., Zhou, X., & Zhang, K. (2019). The practical implementation of artificial intelligence technologies in medicine. *Nature Medicine, 25*, 30–36. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0307-0
14. Hein, A., Schreieck, M., Riasanow, T., Setzke, D. S., Wiesche, M., Böhm, M., & Krcmar, H. (2020). Digital platform ecosystems. *Electronic Markets, 30*, 87–98.
15. Huang, M.-H., & Rust, R. T. (2021). A strategic framework for artificial intelligence in marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 49*, 30–50.